

# Logic *for* algorithms

R. Ramanujam

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai (Retd)

Azim Premji University, Bengaluru (Visiting)

jam@imsc.res.in

ramanujam.r@apu.edu.in

# First words

I thank Aditya and the other organisers of this conference for giving me this opportunity.

- ▶ **Statutory Warning:** My expertise is mainly in decidability theory and am only a student in the study of descriptive complexity of graphs.
- ▶ Please do feel free to interrupt at any time.

# Logic

What is logic?

- ▶ What do you know of logic?

# Logic

What is logic?

- ▶ What do you know of logic?
- ▶ Do you think logic is **useful**?

# Logic

What is logic?

- ▶ What do you know of logic?
- ▶ Do you think logic is **useful**?
- ▶ Do you think logic is useful for **theoretical computer science**?

# Algorithms

This winter school is mainly on algorithmic techniques.

- ▶ Have you learnt algorithms formally in a course (or two)?

# Algorithms

This winter school is mainly on algorithmic techniques.

- ▶ Have you learnt algorithms formally in a course (or two)?
- ▶ What are the algorithmic techniques you know of / remember?

# Algorithms

This winter school is mainly on algorithmic techniques.

- ▶ Have you learnt algorithms formally in a course (or two)?
- ▶ What are the algorithmic techniques you know of / remember?
- ▶ What are your core insights from algorithm theory?



# Theoretical computer science

What is theoretical computer science?

- ▶ Tell me some theorems in tcs.

# Theoretical computer science

What is theoretical computer science?

- ▶ Tell me some theorems in tcs.
- ▶ Do you know any theorems on algorithms?

# Theoretical computer science

What is theoretical computer science?

- ▶ Tell me some theorems in tcs.
- ▶ Do you know any theorems on algorithms?
- ▶ Do you know any theorems on algorithms involving logic?

# Algorithmic metatheorems

This industry was inaugurated by **Ron Fagin** in 1973.

- ▶ **Theorem (Fagin 74)**: A problem is in class *NP* iff it has a description in **existential second order logic**.

# Algorithmic metatheorems

This industry was inaugurated by **Ron Fagin** in 1973.

- ▶ **Theorem (Fagin 74)**: A problem is in class  $NP$  iff it has a description in **existential second order logic**.
- ▶ **Theorem (Immerman, Vardi 80)**: A problem is in class  $P$  iff it has a description in **first order logic + LFP**.

# Algorithmic metatheorems

This industry was inaugurated by **Ron Fagin** in 1973.

- ▶ **Theorem (Fagin 74)**: A problem is in class  $NP$  iff it has a description in **existential second order logic**.
- ▶ **Theorem (Immerman, Vardi 80)**: A problem is in class  $P$  iff it has a description in **first order logic + LFP**.
- ▶ Thus the  $P = NP?$  question has a formulation in logic as well.

# Algorithmic metatheorems

This industry was inaugurated by **Ron Fagin** in 1973.

- ▶ **Theorem (Fagin 74)**: A problem is in class  $NP$  iff it has a description in **existential second order logic**.
- ▶ **Theorem (Immerman, Vardi 80)**: A problem is in class  $P$  iff it has a description in **first order logic + LFP**.
- ▶ Thus the  $P = NP?$  question has a formulation in logic as well.
- ▶ Since then there have been many logical descriptions of complexity classes. This area of study is broadly termed **descriptive complexity** theory.

# A very live area

Here is a result from **two months ago!**

- ▶ **Theorem** (Carmosino, Fagin, Immerman, Kolaitis, Lenchner, Sengupta MFCS2024): Every Boolean function on  $n$ -bit inputs can be defined by a sentence in first order logic having  $(1 + \epsilon)n \log(n) + O(1)$  quantifiers, and that this is essentially tight. This number reduces to  $(1 + \epsilon)\log(n) + O(1)$  when the Boolean function in question is sparse.
- ▶ The proof proceeds by studying winning strategies in a class of two-player combinatorial games called multi-structural games.



# In this talk

We will discuss a particular type of algorithmic metatheorems.

- ▶ **The general pattern:** If the problem can be described in a certain logic and the input can be decomposed in a certain way, then there is a certain kind of algorithm for it.

# In this talk

We will discuss a particular type of algorithmic metatheorems.

- ▶ **The general pattern:** If the problem can be described in a certain logic and the input can be decomposed in a certain way, then there is a certain kind of algorithm for it.
- ▶ The classic: **Theorem (Courcelle 90):** If the problem can be described in **Monadic second order logic** and the input has **tree width** at most  $k$ , then there is a **linear time** algorithm for it.

# A *real life* problem

I live in an apartment in a block of flats. Typically every flat has a WiFi router.

- ▶ Adjacent routers interfere, and we wish to link routers so that no two adjacent ones interfere.

# A *real life* problem

I live in an apartment in a block of flats. Typically every flat has a WiFi router.

- ▶ Adjacent routers interfere, and we wish to link routers so that no two adjacent ones interfere.
- ▶ The abstract problem, of course, is **3-colourability**. What do you know about the problem?

# A real life problem

I live in an apartment in a block of flats. Typically every flat has a WiFi router.

- ▶ Adjacent routers interfere, and we wish to link routers so that no two adjacent ones interfere.
- ▶ The abstract problem, of course, is **3-colourability**. What do you know about the problem?
- ▶ It is **NP-complete** and unless  $P = NP$ , we **cannot** solve it efficiently.

# A *real life* problem

I live in an apartment in a block of flats. Typically every flat has a WiFi router.

- ▶ Adjacent routers interfere, and we wish to link routers so that no two adjacent ones interfere.
- ▶ The abstract problem, of course, is **3-colourability**. What do you know about the problem?
- ▶ It is **NP-complete** and unless  $P = NP$ , we **cannot** solve it efficiently.
- ▶ But **sometimes**, we can apply a technique like **divide and conquer** to 3-colourability!

# A good case

Sometimes divide and conquer can be applied to 3-colourability.

- ▶ Pick two appropriate vertices and consider the 6 possible colourings.
- ▶ We can think of a vertex **defending** a region of the graph.
- ▶ We can then solve the problem recursively on the independent parts.

# A good case

Sometimes divide and conquer can be applied to 3-colourability.

- ▶ Pick two appropriate vertices and consider the 6 possible colourings.
- ▶ We can think of a vertex **defending** a region of the graph.
- ▶ We can then solve the problem recursively on the independent parts.
- ▶ Over to the board!



# A game

We can think of this as a game of **Cops and robbers**, played on a graph.

- ▶  $k$  cops try to catch a robber by being on the same vertex as her.

# A game

We can think of this as a game of **Cops and robbers**, played on a graph.

- ▶  $k$  cops try to catch a robber by being on the same vertex as her.
- ▶ First the cops, then the robber pick a start vertex to occupy,

# A game

We can think of this as a game of **Cops and robbers**, played on a graph.

- ▶  $k$  cops try to catch a robber by being on the same vertex as her.
- ▶ First the cops, then the robber pick a start vertex to occupy,
- ▶ A cop gets on a **helicopter** and heads towards some vertex.

# A game

We can think of this as a game of **Cops and robbers**, played on a graph.

- ▶  $k$  cops try to catch a robber by being on the same vertex as her.
- ▶ First the cops, then the robber pick a start vertex to occupy,
- ▶ A cop gets on a **helicopter** and heads towards some vertex.
- ▶ Meanwhile, the robber moves along any path of unoccupied vertices.

# An abstraction

The cops' strategy = a **tree decomposition** of the graph!

- ▶ The nodes are positions of the cops.
- ▶ The root is their initial position.
- ▶ The children of a tree node are the graph components that could contain the robber.

# Why we want metatheorems

When restricted to graphs of bounded treewidth, all the following problems are in **NC**:

- ▶ Vertex cover, feedback vertex set, minimum maximal matching, clique, independent set . . .
- ▶ Partition into  $r$  – triangles, isomorphic subgraphs, Hamiltonian subgraphs, forests, cliques, perfect matchings, . . .
- ▶ For fixed  $H$  – subgraph isomorphism, graph homomorphism, path with forbidden pairs, . . .
- ▶ Degree constrained spanning tree, bounded diameter spanning tree, max cut, chromatic index, chordal graph completion for fixed  $k$ , . . .

# Tree decompositions

Nice work, if you can get it!

- ▶ ... Courcelle's theorem: and if you get it, who could ask for anything more?

# What's common?

All these problems share one very nice property.

- ▶ In 1990, Courcelle noticed that all of these problems can be described in monadic second order logic (*MSO* logic).
- ▶ This is the familiar language of quantifiers that you all know, extended with quantification over sets.
- ▶ The logic is defined over graphs, so that  $\forall x$  means universal quantification over graph vertices and  $\exists X$  means existential quantification over subsets of graph vertices.



# What's common?

All these problems share one very nice property.

- ▶ In 1990, Courcelle noticed that all of these problems can be described in monadic second order logic (*MSO* logic).
- ▶ This is the familiar language of quantifiers that you all know, extended with quantification over sets.
- ▶ The logic is defined over graphs, so that  $\forall x$  means universal quantification over graph vertices and  $\exists X$  means existential quantification over subsets of graph vertices.
- ▶ Over to the board!

# Courcelle's theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an MSO formula and  $k$  a number. Then  $\{G \mid G \models \phi, \text{ and } tw(G) \leq k\}$  can be decided in linear time.

- ▶ Compute a tree decomposition of  $G$  in time linear in the size of  $G$  (and some computable function of  $k$ ).

# Courcelle's theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an MSO formula and  $k$  a number. Then  $\{G \mid G \models \phi, \text{ and } tw(G) \leq k\}$  can be decided in linear time.

- ▶ Compute a tree decomposition of  $G$  in time linear in the size of  $G$  (and some computable function of  $k$ ).
- ▶ Adjust the formula so that it applies to the tree.

# Courcelle's theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an MSO formula and  $k$  a number. Then  $\{G \mid G \models \phi, \text{ and } tw(G) \leq k\}$  can be decided in linear time.

- ▶ Compute a tree decomposition of  $G$  in time linear in the size of  $G$  (and some computable function of  $k$ ).
- ▶ Adjust the formula so that it applies to the tree.
- ▶ Transform the formula into a **finite state tree automaton**.

# Courcelle's theorem

Let  $\phi$  be an MSO formula and  $k$  a number. Then  $\{G \mid G \models \phi, \text{ and } tw(G) \leq k\}$  can be decided in linear time.

- ▶ Compute a tree decomposition of  $G$  in time linear in the size of  $G$  (and some computable function of  $k$ ).
- ▶ Adjust the formula so that it applies to the tree.
- ▶ Transform the formula into a **finite state tree automaton**.
- ▶ The formula is true on  $G$  iff  $A_{T_G}$  reaches an accepting state on  $T_G$ . (This latter property is linear time checkable,)

# Refinements

Once you have Courcelle's theorem, you can do better.

- ▶ **Theorem**(Bodlaender, Courcelle): If the problem can be described in MSO logic and the input has bounded tree width, then there is a **parallel** algorithm running in time  $O(\log n)$ .
- ▶ **Theorem**(Bodlaender, Courcelle): If the problem can be described in MSO logic and the input has bounded **clique** width, then there is a polynomial time algorithm for it.
- ▶ **Theorem**(Frick, Grohe): If the problem can be described in **FO** logic and the input is **planar**, then there is a **linear** time algorithm for it.
- ▶ **Theorem**(Flum, Grohe): If the problem can be described in FO logic and the input has a **forbidden minor**, then there is a polynomial time algorithm for it.

# Recent results

While these theorems yield tight upper time bounds, they yield no completeness results.

- ▶ Recent metatheorems concern **space** complexity and **circuit** complexity.
- ▶ They also yield completeness results.

# A space result

$L$  = problems solvable by deterministic Turing machines, using work space of size only logarithmic in the input size.

- ▶ Courcelle's theorem can be refined to show that over graphs of bounded treewidth, MSO-definable properties are in  $L$ .
- ▶ **Corollary:** Over graphs of bounded treewidth, this gives **logspace algorithms** for 3-colourability, perfect matching, reachability from a source vertex, ...



# A space result

$L$  = problems solvable by deterministic Turing machines, using work space of size only logarithmic in the input size.

- ▶ Courcelle's theorem can be refined to show that over graphs of bounded treewidth, MSO-definable properties are in  $L$ .
- ▶ **Corollary:** Over graphs of bounded treewidth, this gives **logspace algorithms** for 3-colourability, perfect matching, reachability from a source vertex, ...
- ▶ Each of these results would have been a paper not too long ago.

# New structure theorems and algorithmic applications

Thomassen has shown that all graphs of sufficiently large tree width have a cycle whose length is a multiple of 3.

- ▶ This suggests the following algorithm for checking whether **an arbitrary graph**  $G$  has a cycle whose length is a multiple of 3.
- ▶ Check, whether  $G$  has small tree width. If yes, subdivide all edges and apply the metatheorem to the formula specifying the property.
- ▶ Otherwise say "yes".

# Quantifier classes

Once we relate logic and algorithms, there are finer details to consider.

- ▶ Fagin's theorem shows that a property is in *NP* iff it is of the form  $\exists X \exists Y \exists Z \forall u \forall v \phi$ .

# Quantifier classes

Once we relate logic and algorithms, there are finer details to consider.

- ▶ Fagin's theorem shows that a property is in *NP* iff it is of the form  $\exists X \exists Y \exists Z \forall u \forall v \phi$ .
- ▶ What about properties of the form  $\exists X \exists Y \exists Z \forall u \exists v$ ?
- ▶ What about properties of the form  $\exists X \exists Y \forall u \forall v$ ?
- ▶ What about properties of the form  $\exists X \forall u \exists v \forall w$ ?
- ▶ and so on.

# One quantifier class

**Theorem** (Gottlob, Kolaitis, Schwentick, 2004):  $\exists_1^* \forall \exists$  formulas describe properties checkable in **polynomial time** over undirected simple graphs.

- ▶ Preprocess the input graph.
- ▶ If the treewidth is small, apply Courcelle's theorem.
- ▶ If not, check whether a special cycle of constant length exists.
- ▶ Otherwise say yes.
- ▶ This is a very difficult proof, running to about 35 pages.

# Developments

Results on  $\exists_1^* \forall \exists$  formulas have now been refined to logspace.

- ▶ Further quantifier classes have been characterized for other complexity classes.
- ▶ Examples:  $\exists_1 \forall \exists$  in  $AC_0$ ,  $\exists_1^2 \forall \exists$  in  $L$ ,  $\exists_1 \exists^* \forall \exists$  in  $NL$ ,  $\exists_1^2 \forall \forall$  in  $NP$ , and so on.
- ▶ The proofs show how logical definability is a key constraint in our ability to formulate algorithms.

# A range of applications

A similar argument shows that there are space efficient pseudo-polynomial time algorithms for:

- ▶ knapsack problems,
- ▶ bin packing problems,
- ▶ scheduling problems, and
- ▶ integer programming for a fixed number of inequalities.

# In conclusion

The logic – algorithms connection is deeply insightful, and gives us uniform results on **existence** of algorithms for checking a wide ranging class of properties.

- ▶ Now there are algorithmic metatheorems for constant depth circuits.
- ▶ **Open**: Are there space efficient metatheorems for graphs of bounded clique-width?
- ▶ Algorithmic theory of **nowhere dense graphs**, **sparse graphs**, ...
- ▶ We found the use of tree automata here, what about automata running on graphs, and their connection to logic?



# In conclusion

The logic – algorithms connection is deeply insightful, and gives us uniform results on **existence** of algorithms for checking a wide ranging class of properties.

- ▶ Now there are algorithmic metatheorems for constant depth circuits.
- ▶ **Open**: Are there space efficient metatheorems for graphs of bounded clique-width?
- ▶ Algorithmic theory of **nowhere dense graphs**, **sparse graphs**, ...
- ▶ We found the use of tree automata here, what about automata running on graphs, and their connection to logic?
- ▶ Welcome to the study of **logic and complexity theory**.